

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	IO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/009,137		03/01/2002	Manfred Reiss	13027.23USWO	5504	
23552	7590	04/07/2004		EXAMINER		
MERCHANT & GOULD PC P.O. BOX 2903				JACKSON, S	JACKSON, STEPHEN W	
		MN 55402-0903		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,				2836		
				DATE MAILED: 04/07/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		ak					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary	10/009,137	REISS, MANFRE	D				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
7. 44411000475	Stephen W Jackson	2836					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence ac	idress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS e, cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed ) days will be considered time from the mailing date of this c ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 M	<u>farch 2002</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	s action is non-final.						
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 March 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Of	tice Action or form P	10-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:</li> <li>1. △ Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea</li> </ul>	ts have been received. ts have been received in Appli crity documents have been rec	cation No	Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	eived.					
Attachment(s)	_						
<ul> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	_	nary (PTO-413) ail Date nal Patent Application (PT)	O-152)				

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Orth et al.

Claim 1 is highly conventional in the art of digital devices. The engine control system of most of the automobiles on the road at the time of this office action would also be a 102 reference against claim 1 in its present form. Orth has been selected for the above mentioned rejection to show how long the subject matter of claim 1 has been known in the art.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Art Unit: 2836

Claims 2-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Orth et al.

Fig. 1 teaches a detector module that includes a temperature sensor of the type known to have a voltage output (capacitive) connected to a type of analog to digital converter (capacitance to digital) which is connected to serial bus 20.

The device taught by Orth differs from the claims by not being an exact match, element for element, of the device features described in the claims that depend from 102 rejected independent claim 1.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the teachings of Orth to meet the claims because the limitations of the dependent claims are unremarkable conventional practice in the art that in combination with claim 1 would not be deemed allowable subject matter.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen W Jackson whose telephone number is 571-272-2051. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30am-3:00pm M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Sircus can be reached on 571-272-2800 x36. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/009,137 Page 4

Art Unit: 2836

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SWJackson

March 31, 2004

STEPHEN W. JACKSON PRIMARY EXAMINER

Stephen is Jackson 3-31-04